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UPDATE: Ten years have passed since this paper was written (1994). Since then Federal funds were secured to continue the restoration of the Island from the devastation wrought upon it from its use as a bombing target by the U.S. Navy. When enough unexploded ordnance was cleared, an inventory level archaeological survey was done; only a few additional petroglyphs were located. Many more rock art sites have been recorded in Hawaii since 1994, and the broad picture of Hawaiian rock art has changed, requiring some additions and modifications to the original manuscript by the authors. The reports on the Kaho‘olawe archaeological survey and the newfound petroglyphs are not available at this time; hence, the changes in the manuscript do not reflect this forthcoming data but are based on the information obtained from reports on twenty Hawaiian rock art sites recorded since 1994 and data from approximately sixty others. Edward Stasack

For nearly two hundred years after Captain Cook first sailed past Kaho‘olawe, it was said that life there was, and always had been, impossible. Early visitors to Hawai‘i ignored the island. When Kaho‘olawe was noticed at all, it was described as barren, desolate, and impoverished. For the most part it was simply passed by.

But, on the 15th of August 1819, Jacques Etienne Victor Arago, artist on board the French corvette Uranie, observed Kaho‘olawe with the sensitivity and perceptivity others of this and later periods lacked. He wrote, “Taouroe arose before the corvette, reddish on the sides, black at her base, copper at her summit; Taouroe, island of rock, embattled, notched at the peak in pointed ridges, similar to a decrepit wall of lava chiseled by the centuries. Who, then, has touched this ground barren of any greenery, who then has tried to scale these formidable ramparts on which the waves thunder and crash with such violence? No one. And yet the long and perilous reefs surround Taouroe, as if the crags had to fear the
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conquest of man, as if they wanted to defend against all greediness the wealth that is hidden perhaps in its sides. Taouroe will be eternally uninhabited, for life there is impossible.” (Arago 1823).

Despite his penetrating eye and artist’s vision, Arago saw Kaho’olawe as an isle of the dead, and implied what many others believed, that Kaho’olawe had never been inhabited. During the 19th century, to be sent to Kaho’olawe was to be exiled. Criminals languished there. Ranching was tried and it failed. A century later, during the period Hawai’i was a U.S. Territory and then a State, no better use for the island was found than to bomb it by air and from the sea. It served as a target for the Military. Yet, flayed and denuded, there remained on the island an underlying pulse and evidence testifying to periods of settlement and cultural activity. The archaeological surveys from 1976 to 1980 identified 544 sites and more than 2200 features (Reeve 1992:28). More than 400 petroglyphs have been recorded to date. There are undoubtedly more sites and petroglyphs to be found within its 45 square miles. Research indicates that the uplands supported a good dry-land forest, and the lowlands were blanketed with pili grass (Heteropogon contortus) and shrubs. This helped form and maintain the fresh and brackish water supply.

On December 17, 1990, the Kaho’olawe Island Conveyance Commission (KICC) was established to facilitate the return of the island to the State of Hawai’i. This was successfully accomplished in May of 1994. In 1992 the KICC authorized the recording and documentation of the rock art on the island (Stasack and Lee 1993). They had the help of others too numerous to mention, including the U.S. Navy and other branches of the Service, Frank Morin, the Protect Kaho’olawe Ohana, the KICC, Hardy Spoehr and the then Spoehr Foundation, Rubelite Kawena Johnson, and Rowland Reeve.

Kaho’olawe has been partially revived from its apparent near-death. Continuing to lead the resuscitation is the Protect Kaho’olawe ‘Ohana, an expanding and ever changing group of mostly native Hawaiians. The Ohana has been accessing the ancient settlement of Hakioawa regularly; its members are rebuilding, replanting, dancing the sacred hula to call to and acknowledge the living spirit of the island and of its namesake, Kanaloa, one of the four major Hawaiian deities. Kaho’olawe is now officially designated as “a place for the practice of
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traditional and contemporary Hawaiian culture, including religion.” (Kaho’olawe Island: Restoring a Cultural Treasure 1993:3). The restoration of Hakoawa village and the replanting thus far has been successful. Adding to this renaissance, the U.S. Military has completed the initial phase of “sweeping” the island of portions of the huge accumulation of ordnance making many areas safe again.

The major finding of the Kaho’olawe Island Conveyance Commission is that “Kaho’olawe is a wahi pana and a pu’uhonua—a special place with unique and important cultural, archaeological, historical, and environmental resources of local, national, and international significance.” (Kaho’olawe Island: Restoring a Cultural Treasure 1993: 3). The same must be said about the rock art. Of equal importance, Kaho’olawe emanates a living spirit that is revealed to those who are perceptive and patient.

The preponderance of petroglyphs on Kaho’olawe are found at five of the island’s twenty sites: Hakioawa; Ahupū and vicinity; Kaukaukapapa; the Southeast Interior, sometimes called Loa’; and Kūheia. Each is distinctive and illustrates the diversity of the petroglyphs of Kaho’olawe Island. (Figure 1).

The recorded petroglyphs were found at 82 loci, and include at least 423 units. Seventy percent of all the petroglyphs documented represent human figures; if we exclude the cupules (pohoh), this percentage increases to 79%. This is similar to other major sites in Hawai’i which have been recorded to date.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Total Petro</th>
<th>Total Figures (% of Total Petro)</th>
<th>Linear Body Figures (% of Total Figs.)</th>
<th>Triangular Body Figures (% of Total Figs.)</th>
<th>Typical Heads</th>
<th>Total Other Heads</th>
<th>Inverted Triangular Heads (% of Total Figures)</th>
<th>Detached Heads</th>
<th>No Heads</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hakioawa Area</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>54 (82%)</td>
<td>39 (72%)</td>
<td>15 (28%)</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>5 (9%)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kūheia</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>17 (100%)¹</td>
<td>1 (6%)</td>
<td>16 (94%)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 (6%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ahupū Iki Area</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>76 (63%)</td>
<td>43 (57%)</td>
<td>33 (43%)</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>10 (13%)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaukaukapapa Area</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>104 (85%)</td>
<td>65 (63%)</td>
<td>37 (37%)</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>4 (4%)</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE Uplands, Loa’a</td>
<td>91²</td>
<td>48³</td>
<td>43 (47%)</td>
<td>7 (15%)</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>8 (19%)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTALS (Kaho’olawe)</td>
<td>423</td>
<td>370⁴</td>
<td>294 (70%) (79% without cupules)</td>
<td>188 (64%)</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>28 (10%)</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Puakō, Hawai’i</td>
<td>3081</td>
<td>2361 (74%)</td>
<td>2080 (88%)</td>
<td>214 (12%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lāna‘i, Hawai’i</td>
<td>1102</td>
<td>801 (73%)</td>
<td>324 (40%)</td>
<td>384 (60%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Kūheia has two sites: historical beach site; ancient inland site which are all figures.
2 There are 53 non-representational petroglyphs, 33 of which are cupules.
3 Forty-seven non-figurative petroglyphs, 34 of which are cupules.
4 Op. cit. f.n. 2

Table 1

Petroglyph Distribution – Kaho’olawe Island
TABLE 2. COMPARISON OF RADIOCARBON AND SILICA GLAZE INFILLING AGES FOR KAHO'OLawe ISLAND PETROGLYPHS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Petroglyph Analyzed (see Fig. 2)</th>
<th>Silica Glaze Infilling Calibrated Age</th>
<th>^14C Calibrated Age with 1 Sigma Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>K10: Triangle head, generation figure</td>
<td>AD 1600-1900</td>
<td>AD 1660-1950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K19: Triangle body with bird head</td>
<td>AD 1550-1900</td>
<td>AD 1650 (1670, 1790) 1950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K26: Stick figure; right arm raised</td>
<td>AD 1400-1700</td>
<td>AD 1460 (1510, 1600, 1620) 1640</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K16B: Stick figure†</td>
<td>AD 1350-1600</td>
<td>AD 1432 (1465) 1634</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K15A: Stick figure (under goat)</td>
<td>AD 1350-1550</td>
<td>AD 1320 (1410) 1440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K18A: Triangular body</td>
<td>AD 1300-1500</td>
<td>AD 1301 (1401) 1434</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K30: Dog</td>
<td>AD 1300-1550</td>
<td>AD 1286 (1310, 1353, 1385) 1416</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K11: Running stick figure</td>
<td>AD 1300-1500</td>
<td>AD 1290 (1300, 1370) 1400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K12: Stick figure; left arm raised</td>
<td>AD 1300-1450</td>
<td>AD 1282 (1303) 1404</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K22: Fish hook</td>
<td>AD 1150-1350</td>
<td>AD 1230 (1280) 1290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K28: Upside-down stick figure</td>
<td>AD 1100-1300</td>
<td>AD 1037 (1188) 1272</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K33: Lying down stick figure</td>
<td>AD 1050-1200</td>
<td>AD 992 (1029) 1168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K23: Stick figure</td>
<td>AD 1050-1250</td>
<td>AD 983 (1027) 1168</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

†K16B is superimposed over K16A.

such as Puakō, Lāna‘i Island, Ka‘ūpūlehu, and portions of Pu‘uloa and ‘Anaeho‘omalu, Hawai‘i. (Table 1).

We were able to collect tiny bits of carbonized woody tissue from the silica glaze coatings that rest on top of thirteen petroglyphs from Kaho‘olawe. These remains were radiocarbon dated by accelerator mass spectrometry(ALS): nine for stick figures, two for triangular-bodied figures, one for a dog, and one for a fishhook. Our ages are experimental, because it is possible that the carbon could pre-date petroglyph making if the remains came from old wood such as drift wood. It is also possible that the dated carbon could significantly post-date petroglyph making, since the silica glaze coating “glued” the carbon to rock surfaces sometime after the petroglyphs were made. Still, the only way that we can advance our understanding of Kaho‘olawe petroglyph chronology is to report our experimental results and our uncertainties.

The earliest ages were obtained for stick figures from Hakioawa, AD 983, and Kaukaukapapa, AD 992. According to Hawaiian scholar Rubellite Kawena Johnson, these may be different views of the same constellation, the god Maui. Each has one three-toed foot. (Figure 2).

Along with three periods of petroglyph activity,
which tentatively can be identified through style, there are also periods for which no ages have yet been obtained. Further research revealed that silica glaze slowly fills in the pores in the rock of the engraving (Gordon and Dorn, 2005; Cerveny, 2006).

When the rate of infilling is calibrated by the U.S. Geological Survey’s radiocarbon dating of lava flows (cf. Gordon and Dorn, 2005), a set of calibrated ages are available for comparison with the previously published 14C ages (Stasack et al. 1996). Table 2 presents the comparison of results from these two different chronometric strategies.

At this time, however, there appears to be no evidence of correlation between style change and periods of activity.

In the early period, prior to AD 1300+, linear body figures were the chosen form for anthropomorphs and were made using both straight and curved lines. On Kaho’olawe, as on all the islands, linear body figures were much alike. For the most part, the differences were in proportion, size of line, and technical skills. Most were pecked; some were pecked and then abraded. There are nine AMS dated petroglyphs from this early period. (Figure 2). At Loa’a, 85% of the anthropomorphs are linear body figures, suggesting that this may be the oldest rock art site on Kaho’olawe. Thirty-three of the Island’s 34 poho, cupules, are here.

Four AMS dated petroglyphs were obtained from the middle period, AD 1300±-to-1800±, when triangular torso figures first emerged: the linear body figure from Ahupūʻi Iki, AD 1432; the linear body figure from Hakioawa, AD 1460; the triangular-bodied figure with bird head from the gulch west of Ahupūʻi Iki, AD 1650; and the composite figure with bisected, inverted triangular head, AD 1660, from Loa’a. Possibly during this period, stylizations appeared such as the birdman types, and various body types with headdresses, winged arms, unusual proportions, etc. In this period on other islands it is likely that the first petroglyph representations of family gods, ‘aumakua, were made in the form of humans with animal attributes, such as birds, turtles, dogs, and fish. Influences and/or connections from Lāna’i and Maui seem strong during this time. Judging by style alone, the figures at Kūheia were all made during a short time span in the middle period. (Figure 3A).

In the final period, post 1800’s, names and words were inscribed. Figures and animals in heterogeneous styles continued to be made, and were intermingled with older images and writing. The lettering may be attributable to the influence of nearby Lahaina, Maui, where a printing press and a school were established in the early nineteenth century. The name of a man, Keliikipi, living on Kaho’olawe during the 1866 census, is inscribed on a boulder at the bay east of Ahupūʻi. (Figure 3C). We chose not to date any petroglyphs that were obviously from the historic period.

Approximate ages of such images as goats, the “spaceman,” various written names and words, and sailing ships are self-evident. On the other islands representations of things newly-introduced were carved, such as guns, handsaws, sailing ships, wide brimmed hats, spurs, and the reigns of the horse of
the paniolo cowboy.

What little information we have for Kaho'olawe suggests that the island held some special importance in the ancient Hawaiian culture. Oral tradition tells us that the hill at Moa'ula Iki was the site of a school for astronomy and navigation. Atop this hill is a large slab boulder on a pedestal which, when struck, rings with a sonorous tone similar to the boulder at Loa'a. Nearby is the second largest adze quarry in the islands. The Hawaiian language newspaper, Ka Lahui Hawai‘i reported in 1875 that King David Kalākaua retreated to Kaho'olawe for spiritual purification as others had done before him. The island was said to be the resting place of the major god, Kanaloa, and the western-most point, Kealaikahiki, was a navigational aid for voyagers, possibly the departure place for the legendary voyage to Tahiti.

Compared to the petroglyphs on the other islands, Kaho'olawe's designs most resemble those from Maui and, in particular, the petroglyphs at Kukui Point, Lāna‘i. (Figures 3A and 3B). Many of the softly curved triangular-bodied figures there are almost identical to those at Kūhea. There were ‘ōhana, extended family from Maui and Lāna‘i that were related to ohana on Kaho'olawe. They were accustomed to fishing and camping on Kaho'olawe. They may have authored some of these petroglyphs.

Cupules (poho) on Kaho'olawe are a rarity in contrast to many other petroglyph sites in Hawai‘i. These cup-shaped man-made depressions are the preponderant type at sites such as Pu‘u‘ula and ʻAnaeho’omalu on the Big (Hawai‘i) Island and are found in varying proportions at most sites on Hawai‘i Island. There they are carved, however, in comparatively soft pāhoehoe. Those pecked and abraded into the dense basalt boulder at the inland site of Loa’a imply a serious purpose and speak almost audibly of ceremony and ritual. There may be archaeoastronomical implications here. At sunset, a stick held vertically in one of the cupules casts a shadow along one of the three lines pecked along the length of the boulder. In different seasons there would be other alignments. The shadow-dagger at Kūkaniloko, ʻOahu, is a valid testimony to the use in Hawai‘i of petroglyphs for astronomical purposes. There, a shadow is cast to a dot in the center of three concentric circles at the autumnal equinox. In dramatic fashion, this occurs at sunset on September 23rd, as the sun descends between the two peaks of Kolekole Pass on ʻOahu.

On the ridge east of Ahupū‘a Iki is another example of the Hawaiians’ interest in the heavens. At this site the word Koia is pecked into a boulder. (Figure 3D). Kaho'olawe is said to have been a place for the teaching of navigation and the study of the stars and constellations. In Hawaiian, Koia has the same meaning as Kona, which is the name of a particular star. There is a carefully carved five pointed star inscribed beside it.

The petroglyphs found on Kaho'olawe appear to span a long period of time, perhaps from before AD 983, the oldest age thus far obtained, to the early years of the 20th century. In the gulch west of Ahupū‘a Iki, historic petroglyphs occur on panels, side-by-side, with ancient-style images. At times an historic image, such as a goat, is superimposed on the apparently older figure. The great time span, the small numbers, the superimpositions, and the heterogeneous styles imply long intervals in which no rock art was made. These fallow periods could possibly correspond with the periods when these places were unoccupied. Development of a “local style” which requires continuity in order to advance toward conventionalizations would have been inhibited. Hence, Kaho'olawe rock art, as a whole, seems disconnected, lacking unity and harmony. To the eye of the modern-day viewer it is inconsistent, elusive, quirky, and idiosyncratic.

Style-wise, the inverted triangular heads with a bisecting center line are a rare type. In fact, 40% of the heads are “unusual,” a greater percentage than at other sites in Hawai‘i at this recording. At more typical sites such as Puakō and Pu‘u‘ola, the dominant head-type is a dot of varying size, usually with a neck. Proportionately, Kaho'olawe has slightly more figures with detached heads, headless figures, and figures with various types of headdresses and special heads than at most other sites. Headdresses would probably be associated only with kings, ali‘i, kahuna, and demi-gods, hence, these numbers indicate an unusual concern with high status subjects.

The petroglyphs at most Hawaiian rock art sites tend to have a personality, to be of a dominant type and function. Most sites are found near settlements,
the remainder are usually located at sacred places or wahi pana. On Kaho‘olawe each site has its own style mix and a defining personality. For example, Kūhea is a trove for the repetition of the softly curved triangular body form; Hakioawa is the home for a conservative but imaginative mix of classic forms; Ahupū‘Iki is the place for superimpositions of late over early images with emphasis on the presence of quadrupeds; Kaukaukapapa is the flea market for anachronisms, older styles done hurriedly, some might say inappropriately, long after the fashion had passed; and Loa‘a is the shrine for rock art, a spiritual center and a destination in itself.

In Hawai‘i there are only a few known petroglyphs of triangles standing alone. However, some are found in tattoo and tapa (kapa) designs. When sufficient data is available from the major sites in Hawai‘i it should be possible to establish when the triangle first was used as a shape either as part of a figure or in some other way. The AMS dating indicates that this occurred around AD 1300. The emergence of the triangular body represents a move from the use of line to the use of shape, a step toward the representation of three-dimensional form. A line is, after all, a man made convention most often utilized to suggest a contour, an edge, or a form which is essentially linear, such as the fishhook. A large fishhook petroglyph at Hakioawa was drawn emphasizing its linear qualities.

Experimental results support the hypothesis that the ancient petroglyphs were linear, and that for some reason, perhaps around AD 1300, some petroglyphs petroglyph makers began to utilize line to enclose and define a shape. This extended the artist’s range for visual representation of particularized forms and expressive content. For example, an inverted triangle was utilized both as an expression of strength and to visually represent the mass of the body of a broad shouldered male. This development paved the way for the attempts at achieving a simplified verisimilitude in the depiction of such things as a sailing ship, a church with steeple, a saw, a gun, a sail, a clothed figure, and so forth. A few petroglyph makers from other islands achieved a degree of naturalism similar to the late akua sculptures, such as several of the figures from Ka‘ūpūlehu, Hawai‘i.

The overall aesthetic quality of Kaho‘olawe rock art may not match the level of the petroglyphs from other islands in Hawai‘i but the imagination, inventiveness, and sense of individuality of many of the images is comparable. It seems apparent that each settlement did not have a resident petroglyph maker with the talents and skills of a master. Some, which appear to be unskilled or to have been done in haste, may be unfinished. But, as a whole, the Kaho‘olawe petroglyphs are purposeful, sincere, and reveal the imagination and perceptivity of their makers.

The occasionally striking similarities of some Kaho‘olawe petroglyphs to those on nearby islands suggest that a number of them were made by visitors from these islands. In a few cases, a very well-crafted petroglyph has a less skillfully made image near it and appears to be an inferior copy. Such juxtapositions are found at most rock art sites in Hawai‘i. This pattern is typical of the evolution of an art form. Once introduced, an innovative form may either be copied, perfected and, conventionalized, or suffer a gradual or sometimes precipitous deterioration. Without an apprenticeship to teach and/or indoctrinate, succeeding generations are removed from an understanding of the idea behind the form, its meaning, and the initial motivation for creating it. It may be dropped and remain as an isolated example. This seems to have been more the rule on Kaho‘olawe than on the other islands and could be due to the lack of an apprentice system. However, there is no definite information about this, nor about the authorship of the petroglyphs of Kaho‘olawe or anywhere else in Hawai‘i.

The Kaho‘olawe petroglyphs were undoubtedly made for the same purposes as those of the other islands. It seems evident that some possessed mana, or spiritual power. Many would have had the same variety of uses as did writing, for example: as records; for the commemoration of events, political and historical; recording of legends; proclamation of domain or custodianship; births and perhaps deaths of great significance; battles won or lost; genealogical records, as adjunct to or focus for ritual or ceremonial activities; recreation; possibly sorcery; cues for the story tellers; piko (umbilical stump) centered rituals; and more.

Is there a Kaho‘olawe style? Hard to say, but there is a discernable local flavor. It is subtle and difficult
to recognize and define because of the small number of petroglyphs and their diversity. For example, the inverted bisected triangular head is rare but occurs twice at one site, Loa’a. Forty percent of the figures have special heads but no two are really alike. The petroglyph makers of Ka’o’olawe placed slightly more than the usual emphasis upon the heads of their figures, implying a serious concern for status and religious association. Hawaiians considered the head to be sacred, the focus for mana, power. One of the individual’s three piko was located in the head, at the fontanel, providing him or her access to ancestral spirits and demi-gods. According to Rubelite Kawena Johnson, a highly respected kupuna (elder), the Hawaiian culture was based on power. On Ka’o’olawe this emphasis on the design of the head may be evidence that petroglyphs were made to commemorate the visits of ali’i and personages of great importance.

The five major petroglyph sites on Ka’o’olawe echo the diversity and uniqueness of the style and content of Hawaiian sites in general. Ka’o’olawe rock art is beginning to reveal something about the ancient and evolving culture, its values, and the recurrent settlement periods at and near the petroglyphs sites. The images provide a visual history of a people living and working within a strong cultural tradition who, nevertheless, had an emerging sense of individuality. The rock art of Ka’o’olawe is a microcosm of the rock art of Hawai’i; it appears to have been essentially traditional until around AD 1300, and thenceforth was characterized by the growth of individualism, incongruity, and the difficulties of adaptation to Western influence.

REFERENCES


